Huge Adenomyotic Cyst in Pregnancy
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Abstract

Adenomyotic cyst is a rare variant of adenomyosis. It is believed to arise from repeated focal haemorrhages resulting in cystic spaces filled with altered blood products. We present a rare case of a huge adenomyotic cyst in a 27-year-old primigravida, who was in her first trimester, complaining of sudden lower abdominal pain. Diagnostic laparoscopy performed to rule out other cause of acute abdomen. Her pregnancy went on smoothly. She delivered a baby girl of 2.82 kg via vaginal delivery at 39 weeks. Diagnosis and management of this rare clinical entity were reviewed and discussed.
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Introduction

Cystic lesions within the uterus are uncommon (1,2). Uterine cysts are classified into 2 main groups: congenital and acquired. Congenital cysts derived from Mullerian anomalies like non-communicating rudimentary horn (3). Acquired cysts include cystic adenomyosis (4,5) and cystic degeneration of uterine fibroid (6). Adenomyotic cyst, cystic adenomyosis or cystic adenoma is a rare variant of adenomyosis (7). Its occurrence was attributed to repeated focal haemorrhages resulting in cystic spaces filled with altered blood products. We present a case of a huge adenomyotic cyst in a 27-year-old primigravida, who was in her first trimester, complaining of sudden lower abdominal pain. Diagnostic laparoscopy performed to rule out other cause of acute abdomen. Her pregnancy progressed well till 39 weeks gestation and she delivered a baby girl weighing 2.82 kg via vaginal delivery. Diagnosis and management of this rare clinical entity were reviewed and discussed.
An enlarged uterus of 24 weeks size was found during the diagnostic laparoscopy. Both fallopian tubes and ovaries were normal. Laparoscopic drainage was assisted with ultrasound scan of the fundal uterine cyst revealed chocolate material around 100 cc. Her post-operative recovery was uneventful. She remained asymptomatic and her pregnancy progressed well. The uterine cystic mass was smaller in size post aspiration and it remained the same till delivery. She had an uneventful spontaneous vaginal delivery at 39 weeks and delivered a baby girl weighing 2.82 kg. Upon 2 months postpartum, the uterus was just palpable at 12 weeks size but it progressively increased to 24 weeks size at 6 months postpartum. She remained asymptomatic. Patient was counseled for surgical intervention but still considering.

Discussion

Uterine cysts are uncommon in gynaecologic practice. Owing to the rare occasion of this pathology, the typical symptoms of dysmenorrhoea and pelvic pain, and its closed proximity to the ovary, it can be mistaken as endometriotic cyst. Four distinct type of adenomyosis had been reported by Pistofidis et al. in which diffuse lesion was the most common type (54.5%) followed by nodular (28%), sclerotic (13%) and lastly cystic adenomyosis (4.5%) (7). Juvenile cystic adenomyosis (JCA) commonly occurred in a younger patient (8) and might also be due to congenital defect in Mullerian duct. Takeuchi et al. proposed diagnosis of JCA based on the following criteria: 1) age less than 30 years; 2) cystic lesion more than 10mm and covered by hypertrophic uterine myometrium; and 3) symptoms of dysmenorrhoea (2). Whereas, adult type was found in older patient with history of previous uterine surgery such as caesarean section, myomectomy and curettage (9,10).

Obtaining a correct diagnosis is challenging due to its rarity and the patient was pregnant. This patient presented in first trimester with acute abdominal pain. Working diagnosis at that time was an ovarian accident as the cystic mass was found to be in closed proximity with the posterior uterine wall. Other differentials diagnoses include cystic degeneration of uterine fibroid, uterine bicornuate with haematometra and endometriosis (11,12).

Transvaginal or transabdominal ultrasound scan is usually the first choice of imaging modality when assessing a pregnant woman. Unfortunately, the precise diagnosis of cystic adenomyosis is not always feasible. Accurate sonographic localisation of the cystic lesion in relation to myometrium and endometrial cavity can be strenuous. Thus, some cases were mistaken as endometriotic cyst (13) or uterine anomalies (11). Other imaging modalities such as Computed Tomography scan was limited in pregnant women in view of radiation risk. Whereas, use of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) was found to be superior with higher degree of certainty in diagnosing adenomyosis (14). However, diagnostic laparoscopy was chosen instead of MRI in view of severe abdominal pain.

Huge adenomyotic cysts are rare. The average size of adenomyotic cyst was 31 mm in diameter (range 25-42 mm) (2). Koga et al. reported a giant cystic adenomyosis measuring 17 x 11 x 8 cm in a 37 year old woman who presented with menorrhagia. The patient was treated with four vaginal cyst aspiration then gonadotrophin releasing hormone (15). She underwent hysterectomy subsequently due to persistent symptoms.

Treatment of adenomyotic cyst depends on patient’s age, severity of symptoms, location and size of the cyst, wish for future pregnancy and risk of malignancy. Medical therapy with combined oral contraceptive pills or GnRH analogue only allows short-term symptomatic relief and shrinkage of the lesion (2). Surgical excision remained as a preferred choice. In younger patients, conservative procedure in
the form of laparoscopic excision should be offered but this requires certain level of expertise. As adenomyotic tissue grows deeply into the uterine musculature, excision of an adenomyotic lesion can be difficult as compared to removal of fibroid. Improvement of symptoms post-operatively was remarkable and recurrence risk is low (2).

As in our patient, diagnostic laparoscopy revealed a huge uterine cyst, in which aspiration was performed. As she was pregnant, we did not proceed for further intervention. Though no complete excision was made, she had a favourable pregnancy and labour outcome.

**Conclusion**

Although no specimen was sent for histopathological assessment, the cyst resembled an adenomyotic cyst based on patient’s clinical symptoms, ultrasound features and the diagnostic laparoscopy finding of enlarged uterus with chocolate fluid aspirated. Awareness of this condition is important for timely and accurate diagnosis followed by appropriate intervention.
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